

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

BROOKFIELD PLACE, 200 VESEY STREET, SUITE 400 NEW YORK, NY 10281-1022

September 10, 2021

VIA ECF

Hon. Sarah Netburn United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Courtroom 219 New York, N.Y. 10007

Re: SEC v. Ripple Labs, Inc., et al., No. 20 Civ. 10832 (AT) (SN) (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Netburn:

With respect to the SEC's letter motion to compel recordings of certain internal meetings (Dkt. 319–20, the "Motion"), the SEC appreciates Defendant Ripple Labs, Inc.'s belated agreement to produce the recordings identified in Exhibit A to the Motion, without the condition Ripple insisted on before the SEC filed its Motion. *See* Dkt. 338. Although the SEC's Motion is now therefore moot, the SEC notes that, notwithstanding Ripple's opposition letter, the SEC has expressly sought "sound recordings" since its January 2021 document requests and that in any event Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 specifically lists "sound recordings" in its definition of "document." Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1)(A); Loc. Civ. R. 26.3 (incorporating that definition into all discovery requests in this District). Because the Motion is moot, the SEC does not correct here various inaccuracies in Ripple's opposition letter. The SEC will continue to meet and confer with Ripple, as Ripple's letter invites the SEC to do, Dkt. 338 at 5, about the scope and parameters of Ripple's searches for any additional relevant recordings. The SEC may seek the Court's assistance if the parties are unable to resolve the issues, including the parties' ongoing dispute as to whether Ripple should search the recordings for those in which the same employees whose emails Ripple agreed to search for responsive documents are the relevant speakers.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Pascale Guerrier
Pascale Guerrier

cc: All parties (via ECF)